

# Math 250A Lecture 25 Notes

Daniel Raban

November 28, 2017

## 1 Hilbert's Theorem 90 and Galois Cohomology

### 1.1 Hilbert's theorem 90

We will begin by proving this oddly named<sup>1</sup> theorem we started last lecture.

**Theorem 1.1** (Hilbert's theorem 90). *Suppose  $L/K$  is cyclic. Then  $N(a) = 1$  iff  $a = b/\sigma b$  for some  $b \in L^*$ .*

*Proof.* If  $a = b/\sigma b$ , we leave it as an exercise to show that  $N(a) = 1$ .

We want to solve  $a\sigma b = b$ . Think of  $a\sigma$  as a linear transformation on the vector space  $L$ ; we want to find some  $b \neq 0$  fixed by this linear transformation. Does  $a\sigma$  have finite order?  $(a\sigma)^2 = a\sigma a\sigma$ , so it takes  $b \mapsto a\sigma(a\sigma(b)) = a\sigma(a)\sigma^2(b)$ . So  $(a\sigma)^2 = a\sigma(a)\sigma^2$ . We can continue this to get

$$(a\sigma)^n = \underbrace{a\sigma a\sigma^2 a \cdots \sigma^{n-1} a}_{N(a)=1} \underbrace{\sigma^n}_{=1} = 1.$$

A fixed vector of any  $G$  is given by  $\sum_{g \in G} g(v)$ . So the vector fixed by  $(a\sigma)$  is given by  $b = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (a\sigma)^i(\theta)$  for any  $\theta \in L$ . So  $b$  solves the problem, except we do not know that  $b \neq 0$ . What is the correct choice of theta? Note that this is

$$\begin{aligned} \theta + a\sigma(\theta) + (a\sigma)^2\theta + \cdots &= \theta + a\sigma\theta + a\sigma(a)\sigma^2(\theta) + a\sigma(a)\sigma^2(a)\sigma^3(\theta) \\ &= (a_0\sigma^0 + a_1\sigma^1 + a_2\sigma^2 + \cdots)(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

Use Artin's lemma to get that the  $\sigma_i$  are linearly independent. We can then find a  $\theta$  so that the sum is 0.<sup>2</sup>

□

We will see later that this means that  $H^{-1}(L^*) = 0$  for  $L/K$  cyclic. Here,  $H^{-1}(L^*)$  is the *Tate cohomology group*.

---

<sup>1</sup>The name comes from Hilbert's "Zahlbericht" (number report) in 1897

<sup>2</sup>Professor Borchers does not like the way Lang did this proof. Lang pulls out the second expression out of nowhere. Professor Borchers says it seems like a "deus ex machina."

## 1.2 Applications of Hilbert's theorem 90

**Example 1.1.** Suppose  $K$  contains a primitive  $n$ -th root  $\zeta$  of unity. Take  $a = \zeta$ . Then  $N(a) = \zeta\zeta \cdots \zeta = 1$ . So  $a = b/\sigma b$  for some  $b$ . So  $\sigma(b) = \zeta b$ . This makes  $\sigma(b^n) = b^n$ , so  $b^n \in K^*$ . So  $L = K(\sqrt[n]{*})$ .

**Example 1.2.** Let's solve  $x^3 + x + 1 = 0$ . The discriminant is  $-31$ , which is not a square in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so the Galois group of the splitting field of this polynomial over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $S_3$ . This is a solvable group because we have  $1 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \subseteq S_3$ . This gives us the picture

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & & 1 \\ & \Big|_3 & \Big|_3 \\ K & & \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \\ & \Big|_2 & \Big|_2 \\ \mathbb{Q}(w) & & S_3 \end{array}$$

What is  $K$ ?  $K$  is a subfield of  $L$  fixed by  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ .  $S_3$  acts on  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\sigma$  maps  $\alpha_1 \mapsto \alpha_2 \mapsto \alpha_3 \mapsto \alpha_1$ .  $K$  is generated by some  $\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is fixed by  $\sigma$ , but the elements of  $S_3$  are not in  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . Try  $\alpha = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1)$  (find some polynomial in  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  fixed by  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  but not  $S_3$ . Now

$$\alpha^2 = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)^2(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1)^2$$

is symmetric in  $\alpha_i$ , so it is in the base field. It is the discriminant of  $x^3 + x + 1$ , which is  $-31$ . So  $K = \mathbb{Q}(w, \sqrt{-31})$ .

Next, we want to describe  $L$  in terms of  $K$ .  $L/K$  is a cyclic extension, so  $K$  contains cube roots of 1. So by Hilbert's theorem 90,  $L = K(\sqrt[3]{*})$ , where  $*$  is an eigenvector of  $\sigma$  with eigenvalue equal to  $\omega$ . Try  $\alpha_1 + \omega^{-1}\sigma(\alpha_1) + \omega^{-1}\sigma^2(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1 + \omega^{-1}\alpha_2 + \omega^{-2}\alpha_3$ . Call this  $y$ . Let  $z = \alpha_1 + \omega\alpha_2 + \omega^2\alpha_3$ . If we find  $y, z, 0$ , we can find  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  by linear algebra.

We know that  $y^3, z^3 \in K$  and are fixed by  $\sigma$ . Expand these in polynomials in  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  to get that  $y^3 + z^3 = -27$  and  $y^3z^3 = -27$ . So we get that  $y^3$  and  $z^3$  are roots of  $x^2 + 27z - 27 = 0$ . So  $y^3, z^3 = 27/2 \pm 3\sqrt{3}i/2\sqrt{-31}$ , which means that  $y, z$  are given by  $y = -3.04\dots$  and  $z = 0.99\dots$ . So  $\alpha_1 = (y + z)/3 \approx -0.68\dots$ <sup>3</sup>

**Example 1.3.** Let's solve degree 4 equations  $x^4 + bx^2 + cd + d$  by radicals. We will provide a sketch. Look at the Galois group  $S_4$ , which is solvable because  $1 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \subseteq A_4 \subseteq S_4$ .

<sup>3</sup>Why do we put these approximate values? It's so you can check the answer for yourself!

We will have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & & 1 \\
 |_4 & & |_4 \\
 L & & (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 \\
 |_3 & & |_3 \\
 K & & A_4 \\
 |_2 & & |_2 \\
 \mathbb{Q}(\omega, i) & & S_4
 \end{array}$$

To get to  $K$  from  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega, i)$ , we will adjoin a square root. Going up the diagram, we will then adjoin a cube root and then another square root.

Suppose the roots are  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ . Note that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 0$ . What is  $L$ ? It is generated by things fixed under  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ . We want to find a polynomial fixed by  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_4$ . Try  $y_1 = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4)^2/4 = -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)$ . It has conjugates

$$y_2 = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4)^2/4$$

$$y_3 = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_4 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3)^2/4$$

If we find  $y_1, y_2, y_3$ , we can find  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$  using some algebra.

$y_1, y_2, y_3$  generate a degree 6 extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega, i)$ . The Galois group is  $S_3 = S_4/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ . So  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  are the roots of some cubic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In fact, there are the roots of  $y^3 - 2by^2 + (b^2 - d)y_x^2 = 0$ , which you can obtain via some messy algebra.<sup>4</sup> We can solve this cubic to find  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  and use those to find the  $\alpha_i$ .

## 1.3 Galois cohomology

### 1.3.1 Exact sequences

No one ever understands Galois cohomology the first time they encounter it.<sup>5</sup>

Suppose  $G$  is a group acting on some module  $M$ . Look at

1.  $M^G$ , the subset of things fixed by  $G$  (the invariants of  $G$  on  $M$ ).
2.  $M_G = M / \{m - gm : m \in M, g \in G\}$ .

---

<sup>4</sup>Mathematicians tried to find this for degree 5, but it turns out to be a degree 6 polynomial, which is even worse than what you started with. The underlying fact driving this occurrence is that  $S_5$  is not solvable.

<sup>5</sup>Professor Borchers says that no one ever understands Galois cohomology the first time they encounter it. He even referred to this section as a “futile attempt” to explain it.

The former of these is the largest submodule of  $M$  where  $G$  acts trivially, and the latter is the largest quotient of  $M$  where  $G$  acts trivially.

Suppose that  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence. Act on it by  $G$ . Is this exact? No, we get

$$0 \rightarrow A^G \rightarrow B^G \rightarrow C^G \rightarrow \emptyset.$$

Similarly, we get that

$$\emptyset \leftarrow A_G \rightarrow B_G \rightarrow C_G \rightarrow 0.$$

**Example 1.4.** Take  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ . with  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  acting as  $-1$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $M^G = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(\mathbb{Z}, M)$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}G$  is the group ring of  $G$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}$  is the homomorphisms preserving the action of  $G$ . So  $M$  is a module over  $\mathbb{Z}G$ .  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a module over  $\mathbb{Z}G$  with elements of  $G$  acting trivially ( $g \cdot n = n$ ).

We had earlier in the course that  $\text{Hom}(*, *)$  does not preserve exactness, but the failure was controlled by “Ext.” Similarly,

$$M_G = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} M.$$

The tensor product does not preserve exactness, but the failure is controlled by “Tor.” Put  $H^0(G, M) = M^G$ . The zeroth cohomology is  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(\mathbb{Z}, M)$ . Put  $H^i(G, M) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}G}^i(\mathbb{Z}, M)$ .

A long exact sequence of Ext gives us that if

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, then so is

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(A) \rightarrow H^0(B) \rightarrow H^0(C) \rightarrow H^1(A) \rightarrow H^1(B) \rightarrow H^1(C) \rightarrow H^2(A) \rightarrow \dots$$

Similarly, put  $H_0(G, M) = M_G$  and  $H_i(G, M) = \text{Tor}_i^{\mathbb{Z}G}(\mathbb{Z}, M)$ . We get

$$\dots \rightarrow H_1(C) \rightarrow H_0(A) \rightarrow H_0(B) \rightarrow H_0(C) \rightarrow 0$$

So  $H^1$  and  $H_1$  control the lack of exactness of  $M^G$  and  $M_G$ .

### 1.3.2 Lang's definition of cohomology

How does this relate to Lang's definition? Lang defines the first cohomology group as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** A *crossed homomorphism* is a map  $G \rightarrow M$  sending  $\sigma \mapsto a_\sigma$  with  $a_{\sigma\tau} = a_\sigma + \sigma a_\tau$ .

This is a homomorphism from  $G \rightarrow M$  except if  $G$  acts trivially on  $M$ , then this is just  $\text{Hom}(G, M)$  as groups.

**Definition 1.2.** A *principal crossed homomorphism* is a crossed homomorphism such that  $a_\sigma = b/\sigma b$  for some fixed  $b$ .

Lang defines the first cohomology group as

$$H^1(G, M) = \frac{\text{crossed homomorphisms}}{\text{principal crossed homomorphisms}}.$$

### 1.4 Hilbert's theorem 90 for all Galois extensions

**Theorem 1.2** (Hilbert's theorem 90). *Let  $L/K$  is a Galois extension with Galois group  $G$ . Then  $H^1(G, L^*) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We are given  $a_\sigma \in L^*$  with  $a_{\sigma\tau} = a_\sigma \cdot \sigma a_\tau$  (multiply, not add, since we are dealing with  $L^*$ , which is a multiplicative group). We want to find  $b$  with  $a_\sigma = b/\sigma b$  for all  $\sigma$ . What is a crossed homomorphism? Look at  $\sigma \mapsto a_\sigma \sigma$ . This is a linear map  $L \rightarrow L$ , so  $\sigma\tau \mapsto a_{\sigma\tau} \sigma\tau = a_\sigma \sigma a_\tau \tau = (a_\sigma \sigma)(a_\tau \tau)$ . So this map is a homomorphism  $G$  to  $\text{End}(L)$ . We will continue the proof next class.  $\square$